2400

February 21, 1979

R

MEMORANDUM FOR: L - Mr. Lee R. Marks

FROM: CA - Barbara M. Watson

SUBJECT: Proposed Plan of the Emergency Relief Committee re Bodies at Dover

Attached herewith for your information is a copy of the proposed plan submitted to the court by the Emergency Relief Committee. In addition, I am attaching some suggestions which we submitted to the Committee for consideration in designing their final proposal.

Should you have any questions, please call Carmen DiPlacido on extension 22837 who will be able to fill you in on any other details which may occur to you.

Attachments:

As stated.

DEPARTMENT OF STATE A/CDC/MR
REVIEWED BY [signature] DATE 3/2/87
RDS☐or XDS☐EXT. DATE
TS AUTH. REASON(S)
ENDORSE EXISTING MARKINGS ☐
DECLASSIFIED☐ RELEASABLE☒
RELEASE DENIED☐
PA or FOI EXEMPTIONS

CA:BMWatson:sas

QUESTIONS TO BE CONSIDERED BY EMERGENCY RELIEF COMMITTEE IN DEVELOPING PLAN FOR TRANSPORTATION AND DISPOSITION OF THE REMAINS OF THE JONESTOWN DECEASED AS REQUESTED BY JUDGE BROWN OF THE CALIFORNIA SUPERIOR COURT.

1. QUESTION: WHICH BODIES SHOULD BE COVERED BY THE PLAN?

The following should be covered by the plan: 2/13/79 Number

1. Unidentified remains. 265

2. Identified remains that are unclaimed. 309

3. Identified remains that are unclaimed whose next-of-kin have indicated in writing that they will not be making a claim for their relative's body and who have authorized the State Department to dispose of the body. (79)

It can also be argued that bodies in all of the above listed categories should be covered by the plan since the People's Temple owes the same obligation to all its deceased members. For this reason, persons who have already expended funds to make a claim for a relative's body should be reimbursed up to the same amount that is expended for each of the bodies that have not been claimed. Even in cases where the next-of-kin have specifically indicated that they do not wish to claim certain bodies the court should authorize disbursal of funds for burial, as the disbursement theory rests upon an obligation flowing from the People's Temple to the deceased - not to their next-of-kin.

In summary, the reasons for including a reimbursement provision in the plan are:

1. The People's Temple owes the same obligation to all its decesased members.

2. Equity. The families that expended their own funds should not be penalized for waiting until the Court acted to set aside PT assets for this purpose.

3. There are 339 opportunities for suit by next-of-kin who have expended their own funds. They may attempt to enter the Superior Court case in an effort to obtain reimbursement and/or an injuction against disbursement of any PT funds for a transportation (burial) plan that did not contain a reimbursement provision.

On the other hand, the following are reasons why a reimbursement provision in the plan may be ill advised:

1. The Court may view reimbursement as an unnecessary expense. The court may only wish to use PT assets to pay for transportation and disposition of bodies still on the Base.

2. The receivor might oppose reimbursement for the same reason as (1) above. In addition, the receivor might take the position that any reimbursement could compromise his position in a suit against next-of-kin who have claimed bodies.

3. It is much less likely that a waiver by the U.S. Government for priority may occur if reimbursement for funds already expended is included in the plan.

4. A reimbursement provision may be reviewed by the court as being beyond the contemplated scope of the plan.

5. Inclusion of a reimbursement provision may be challenged by other parties for the proceeding.

As of Febraruy 13, 1979, 339 decesased have been released to next-of-kin.

If a reimbursement provision is included in the plan each next-of-kin should be reimbursed some set amount that is agreed represents an "average cost" for transportation and burial. If the next-of-kin did not expend as much as the average cost they should only be reimbursed for actual out of pocket expenses.

2. QUESTION: WHAT MANNER OF FINAL DISPOSTION OF THE REMAINS SHOULD BE ADVOCATED IN THE PLAN?

Ground burial should be selected. While burial at sea and cremation are inexpensive alternative means of disposition they should not be selected because:

The bodies would be beyond recovery. In individual cases nonrecoverability could prove to be undesirable since identification data from each body will be stored in a computer and records maintained by the unit in charge of the Government's identification effort. (The Armed Forces Institute of Pathology in Washington, D.C.)

Therefore, at a future date relatives could submit newly discovered identification data and identify the body of their relative. If ground burial was chosen the body could be disinterred and buried at a site of the relative's choice. If the unidentified body was buried at sea this option would obviously not be available.

Similarly, if additional investigations of whatever kind (e.g. criminal investigations, inquiries concerning administration of estates, inquiries regarding insurance claims, etc.) necessitated a re-examination of the bodies it would not be possible if the bodies were buried at sea or cremated. The Committee could thus obviate lawsuits or public criticism by choosing ground burial over any other option.

In addition to the advantage of recoverability, ground burial would also provide the relatives of the deceased an opportunity to visit their relatives' final resting places.

3. QUESTION: WHO PRESENTLY HAS CUSTODY OF THE BODIES OF THE JONESTOWN DECEASED THAT ARE PRESENTLY ON THE DOVER AIR FORCE BASE?

The U.S. Government.

4. QUESTION: TO WHOM WILL CUSTODY BE TRANSFERRED?

Custody will be transferred to whomever has undertaken the responsibility to transport and dispose of the bodies.

5. QUESTION: WHEN WILL THIS TRANSFER OF CUSTODY OCCUR?

At such time as each body is released for transportation from Dover Air Force Base.

6. QUESTION: WHAT ARE THE LEGAL RAMIFICATIONS OF THE ASSUMPTON OF CUSTODY?

The party who receives custody assumes full legal responsibility for transportation and dispostion of the body, casket, and any personal effects contained in the casket including any and all liability that may be incurred as a result of such custody and responsibility.

7. QUESTION: WILL THE PARTY WHO ASSUMES CUSTODY OF THE BODY BE REQUIRED TO SIGN AN ASSUMPTION OF LIABILITY STATEMENT?

Yes. A sample of the assumption of liability statement presently in use is attached.

8. QUESTION: HOW SHOULD THE BODIES BE TRANSPORTED?

Various options come readily to mind. The three most viable options would, however, appear to be by:

1. Air. This could be accomplished by utilizing regularly scheduled commerical flights or through a charter arrangement. If a charter is used, the possibility exists that the plane will be granted permission to land at Dover Air Force Base and a DOD air facility at destination. Such an arrangement would obviate the necessity of incurring the additional expense of transporting the bodies to the Philadelphia or Baltimore/ Washington Airports.

2. Rail. (ICC requires petition for declaration statement)

3. Truck.

No matter which mode of transporation is chosen care should be taken to insure that no damage is done to the caskets in transit. In particular, stacking of the caskets should be avoided. The use of a cardboard packing crate might be advisable. If the bodies are transported by truck the vehicles used by various casket makers come specially equipped with shelves designed to minimize or prevent damange.

The Committee should be ascertaining costs of each mode now.

If rail or truck transportation is utilized certain states may require that transit permits be issued before the bodies are allowed to move through the state. We are in receipt of an opinion of the

Delaware Attorney General to the effect that the documents presently accompanying each body constitute a satisfactory transit permit under Delaware law. It is, however, unclear whether all the states through which the bodies would need to travel would reach the same conclusion as Delaware. It therefore would be the responsibility of the carrier to inquire in advance with the officials of each state through which the bodies would travel to ascertain whether the documentation presently available would satisfy the states laws concerning transmit permits.

9. QUESTION: WHAT SHOULD BE THE ULTIMATE DESTINATION FOR THE BODIES?

While a majority of the next-of-kin of the deceased reside in California a number of the next-of-kin (fill in statistic) reside in other states.

In its plan the Committee may wish to advocate that each body be transported to the destination chosen by the next-of-kin. If this approach is taken there are several inherent logistical and administrative problems. Specifically, the next-of-kin who opt for burial in states other than California will incur different transportation and burial expenses from the California next-of-kin. For example, a next-of-kin may request burial in New York, Pennsylvania, Texas, Illinois or some other state. Therefore, the next-of-kin should be contacted in advance in order to ascertain their wishes to the location of the final resting place of their deceased relative. Any body that would be buried other than in California should probably not be shipped to California but rather depending upon the distances involved should be transported directly from DAFB to the burial site if such site is closer to the base than to California.

If this approach is chosen, the committee will have to decide whether to advocate in the plan an average amount of money to be made available to non-California next-of-kin for burial and transportation or whether provision will be made for each case individually in the plan. It is worth noting that if the non-California next-of-kin are thus accommodated the next-of-kin in California will also have a strong case for individual treatment, e.g., delivery to any cemetery they choose within the state.

However, even if two or three cemeteries are chosen in California it seems that various next-of-kin might complain if the cemetery of their preference is not selected for burial of their relative. The next of kin might perfer one cemetery

for reasons of location, aesthetics, etc. Nevertheless, if a cemetery were selected in the Los Angeles area and another in the Bay area, given the location of many of the next-of-kin, this might prove to be a satisfactory solution.

Some of the above problems might be avoided by utilizing direct billing from morticians hired by the next-of-kin and paying such morticians directly a set amount. The next-of-kin would pay the balance, no matter where they were located.

10. QUESTION: WHAT IF A NEXT-OF-KIN PREFERS CREMATION TO BURIAL?

It would seem that such a request should be honored. If a next-of-kin wants their relative's body cremated it probably should be cremated on the East Coast. The ashes could then be shipped to the location chosen by the next-of-kin or scattered at sea, depending upon the wishes of the next-of-kin.

Cremation should occur on the East Coast, because it would probably be cheaper than the cost of transporting a body to California for cremation thee.

If a next-of-kin chose cremation it would reduce the overall costs and would also eliminate other logistical problems. It is much cheaper to ship the ashes than to transport the body itself to California as the body would not have to be stored on the West Coast before burial, and there would be no necessity for obtaining a grave.

(a) How should the crematory be chosen?

The Committee could shop around for the lowest price in the Delaware vicinity including Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania and New Jersey. Another option would be to send this out for bids.

Of course, inquiries have been made concerning the law on cremation in these states in order to ascertain whether the documentation presently available will satisfy the state laws on this subject. So, far, Pennsylvania and New Jersey have been satisfied. We are continuing our efforts of complying with other states' laws.

11. QUESTION: IS THE DOCUMENTATION PRESENTLY AVAILABLE SUFFICIENT TO ALLOW FOR BURIAL IN CALIFORNIA? IS SUCH A BURIAL POLITICALLY ACCEPTABLE ON BOTH THE STATE AND LOCAL LEVEL?

The appropriate state officials should be consulted in order to obtain the necessary assurances on this subject. We believe the documentation is adequate. List of documents attached.

12. QUESTION: ONCE THE BODIES HAVE REACHED CALIFORNIA, WHAT PROVISIONS WOULD HAVE TO BE MADE FOR THEIR STORAGE?

Before a storage site is chosen local officials should be contacted regarding any local rules, suggestions for sites and public acceptance. The storage site should be in a relatively safe neighborhood, if possible. It should be equipped with appropriate locks, lighting and guards should be available. A police escort might be considered for any mass shipment of the bodies from storage to gravesites. California law may allow for storage of bodies only for a limited period of time. The Committee may have to request an extension from the appropriate authorities, usually at the State Department of Health.

It is recommended that if at all possible the need for storag be obviated by having final destination preplanned and timed to coincide with arrival in California. (e.g. - all graves dug in advance and merely take body to site from arrival poin

13. QUESTION: HOW WILL A CEMETERY BE CHOSEN IN CALIFORNIA?

The committee can shop around for the best price or put it out for bids. (This should be done immediately)

14. QUESTION: WHAT SHOULD THE COMMITTEE LOOK FOR IN A CEMETERY?

a) perpetual care and an escrow account in a bank to finance

b) the age amd repuration of the cemetery. A check of the cemetery should be made with both the California and National Cemetery associations.

c) Is a burial vault or liner required by the cemetery or sta law? If not, should the plan include a provision for an outl of funds for this purpose?

d) Does the cemetery include a religious service either at th cemetery, at another site, or both?

e) Should individual grave markers be obtained?

f) Insure in any agreement with the cemetery that the cemeter will not become an "attraction" open to the public for a fee.

g) Will the cemetery quote include the cost of transportation from the storage facility to the cemetery? If not, it will be necessary to contract for this service.

15. QUESTION: WILL THE SUPERIOR COURT INCLUDE IN ITS ORDER A PROVISION DESIGNATING THE COMMITTEE TO BE THE ORGANIZATION TO WHOM THE STATE DEPARTMENT RELINQUISHES CUSTODY?

This eventuality would seem to be desirable. If this was not to occur, then the Department would have to insist upon receiving releases from the next-of-kin indicating that the Committee was being authorized to act on their behalf.

16. QUESTION: WILL THE COMMITTEE ASSUME THE RESPONSIBILITY FOR SERVING AS AN INFORMATION CENTER TO WHICH THE NEXT-OF-KIN CAN TURN TO RECEIVE ANSWERS CONCERNING TRANSPORTATION, BURIAL AND RELATED MATTERS?

Yes. Once the committee assumes custody of the bodies it should be prepared to receiv e telephoen queries from the next-of-kin as to all phases of the ensuing operation. Specifically, the Committee will have to keep the NOK informed as to the means of transportation, time of arrival of the bodies in California or other locations, location of storage facilities, time of funeral service, directions to the cemetery and any other questions that might arise.

17. QUESTION: WILL THE COMMITTEE ASSUME THE RESPONSIBILITY FOR MAKING ANY CLAIMS THAT MIGHT EXIST FOR BURIAL/DEATH BENEFITS FROM THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION AND THE VETERAN'S ADMINISTRATION.

Yes. Each next-of-kin should be asked to assign these benefits to the committee. The committee could in turn reduce the cost of the plan by this amount.

18. QUESTION: HOW SHOULD THE EMERGENCY RELIEF COMMITTEE PLAN BE EXECUTED?

The court should empower the receiver to take whatever action is necessary to ensure that each and every step of the plan is executed with all due speed.

19. QUESTION: HOW SHOULD THE EMERGENCY RELIEF COMMITTEE PLAN BE FINANCED?

The court should charge the receiver with all necessary authority to utilize PT assets to assure the complete execution of the plan. In this regard it is recommended that the court grant to the receiver the authority to:

a. Use liquid PT capital, and

b. liquidate PT assets by auction to the degree required to finance the execution of its plan, and

c. obtain a commercial loan in any amount necessary to execute the plan. In this regard, the receiver should be authorized to pledge PT assets as collateral, and

d. act to provide any and all other means of financing the venture.

Attachments:
As stated.

Telephone: (415) 771-5950

GEORGE H. CARNESS, JR.
1201 California Street
San Francisco, California 94109
Telephone: (415) 775-7700

Attorneys for Amicus Curiae

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

| | |
|---|---|
| PEOPLE'S TEMPLE OF THE DISCIPLES OF CHRIST,<br><br>Petitioner,<br><br>vs.<br><br>THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,<br><br>Respondent. | No. 746-671<br><br>RECOMMENDED PLAN FOR REMOVAL AND INTERMENT OF BODIES REMAINING AT DOVER, DELAWARE, OF INDIVIDUALS WHO DIED IN JONESTOWN, GUYANA, ON NOVEMBER 18, 1978 |

## INTRODUCTION

THE EMERGENCY RELIEF COMMITTEE, Amicus Curiae in this action (hereinafter referred to as ERC) consists of twenty-seven (27) individuals who are representative members of the following religious organizations, or organizations which are a part of, or are related to, the following religious organizations: (1) The Northern California Board of Rabbis; (2) The Roman Catholic Archdiocese of San Francisco; and (3) the San Francisco Council of Churches. The ERC is a special association, unincorporated, and organized not-for-profit, with a direct and special interest

in the expeditious burial of all of the unburied dead, victims of the November 18, 1978 tragedy which occurred in Jonestown, Guyana. On January 8, 1979, the ERC filed its application to appear as Amicus Curiae in this action. On January 15, 1979, this Court ordered that the ERC be allowed to appear as Amicus Curiae in this proceeding.

On January 23, 1979, in open court, this Court directed the ERC to present a plan, within thirty (30) days for the transportation (from Dover, Delaware) and interment of the unburied bodies of those individuals who died at Jonestown, Guyana, on November 18, 1978. Accordingly, the ERC respectfully presents herewith its plan, in response to the Court's order.

## THE PLAN

### I. Criteria.

The concern of the ERC, and insofar as we can perceive it, the concern of the religious community, is that a plan be developed which will help survivors, relatives, and the whole community to work through the remaining grief, despair, hopelessness, fear, and anger so that all members of the community may return to a productive and meaningful life. The peace and psychological health of our City --- and other parts of our nation --- depends on it. Therefore, we are convinced that "cost" factors, while important, should not be the primary consideration. The most important factor is the best possible resolution of the human problems remaining as a result of the November 18, 1978 catastrophe.

Accordingly, while cremation would be far less

expensive, especially if done in Delaware, the ERC does not recommend mass cremation. Further, the United States government (hereinafter referred to as USG) strongly recommends against cremation of the unidentified bodies. Also, a plan of cremation would not solve the problems which we attempt to deal with, but would aggravate these problems. Most of the victims are black, and the black community, in general, is opposed to cremation as a method of interment. The experience of the burial of the dead is of extreme importanc in the black community, in the process of working through grief. Honoring a proper burial is crucial for the survivor of the black victims, and cremation would only add to their despair and create an anger that could have said repercussio

The ERC believes that PEOPLE's TEMPLE assets shoul be the primary source of money for the burial of the remaini unburied bodies. PEOPLE'S TEMPLE assets resulted, in significant part, from the assets of the victims, and in view of the extraordinary circumstances surrounding the present problem, those assets should be looked to for the burial of the PEOPLE'S TEMPLE dead.

Finally, as representative members of the religio community, we desire that the relatives and survivors of this tragedy, as well as others affected by it, continue to live in this City, and in other places, with as few scars as possible from this experience. For this reason, we have great concern that arrangements are made that utilize reputable services which refrain from commercializing on the situation. We hope that services are used in which

the relatives of the dead would find a comforting experience -- we also hope that services are used which relate well with the survivors, and the particular community to which the survivors may belong.

II. Elements of the Plan.

The ERC has gathered information as to costs and services, with regard to transportation, and with regard to morticians/funeral directors' services. We attach to this plan, in order that full disclosure may be made, all of the information we have gathered.

1. Transportation.

We have ascertained that the cheapest and most effective manner of transporting the bodies from Dover, Delaware, is by truck. Although we have received an oral bid of a "ballpark" figure of $19,500 from the ALL STATES TRUCKING COMPANY of Newark, Delaware, we have not obtained a written commitment from that company in response to our request for a written proposal.

The next cheapest proposal, and the one which meets the criteria of the committee in all respects, is the proposal of the LARMORE MOVING SYSTEMS COMPANY of Wilmington, Delaware. That proposal has been made in writing, and is attached in photocopy form as an exhibit to this plan. That proposal was made at the time when there were 582 bodies at Dover, and the bid is $55,440. (There are now 570 bodies at Dover, and it is not clear as to whether the bid remains at the same price, or would be reduced pro rata.) The considerable sensitivity, and sense of responsibility,

evidenced in the LARMORE proposal, as well as the cost, makes the LARMORE proposal the one that should be accepted. This bid is more than $82,000 cheaper than the lowest air transportation bid we received (from United Airlines), although that air-transportation bid was made on the basis of 599 bodies, which is 29 more than are currently at Dover. The LARMORE bid is also almost $5,000 less than the rail bid we received (from ANTRACK, and both the LARMORE bid and the rail bid were based on the same number of bodies (582). Also, rail transportation could not be accomplished in one shipment, but would involve shipping approximately five bodies per train, and would stretch over 100 days. It is clearly in the best interest of all concerned to ship all the bodies at the same time, and inter them as soon as possible.

For more specific information, see the attached transportation breakdown provided by Reverend JOHN O'CONNOR of the ERC.

2. Interment.

We are of the opinion that no package plan, submitted by anyone of the four package bidders (whose bids are attached) should be accepted.

Two of the four package bidders (ASSOCIATED FUNERAL DIRECTORS, INC., and the NEPTUNE SOCIETY) provided bids which were lower than the total cost-package-allowance for claimed bodies, recommended by the ERC. One of the four package bidders (ASSOCIATED FUNERAL DIRECTORS, INC.) provided a bid which was lower than the total cost-package for unidentified

bodies, recommended by the ERC. However, we are of the opinion that these packages do not meet the criteria that we have generally outlined.

The bids of the four package-bidders average out to $400.66 for ASSOCIATED FUNERAL DIRECTORS, INC., $500.00 for the NEPTUNE SOCIETY, $1,560.00 for FINLEY ENTERPRISES, and $726.73 for PAUL R. FULLER. (These figures were based on 599 bodies. Our information is that as of February 15, 1979, there were only 570 bodies at Dover. It is not clear whether the per body figure would still apply for these bidders, with the reduced number of bodies.)

The ERC recommends providing a cost-allowance, for use by next-of-kin who claim bodies, for obtaining individual services as desired by each next-of-kin. The recommended cost-allowance, for services of morticians/funeral directors and grave and endowment care, is $540.00 per body, and is based on the survey we have made of minimum costs in this area (attached). The ERC strongly recommends a plan which allows individuals who are claiming bodies, to have individual, private interment, and not be compelled to be part of one single mass proceeding.

The ERC recommends that unidentified bodies be buried together, in a cemetery within a relatively short distance from San Francisco. (We believe that the cemetery proposed in the ASSOCIATED FUNERAL DIRECTORS, INC. package - which is Hidden Valley Cemetery near Pacheco, California -- does not meet the criterion.) Accordingly, the ERC recommends SKYLAWN CEMETERY in San Mateo. There will be no morticians/

funeral directors costs for burial of the unidentified bodies, since the LAWMORE company will deliver the bodies directly to the cemetery, and the cemetery cost includes opening and closing the grave. Skylawn's cost per body (which includes endowment care and a concrete grave liner) is $349.00. (The Skylawn offer is attached.)

Our plan, of course, contemplates no expense for clergy. None is needed. Our clergy, and many cooperating clergy, will either provide services without cost, or request other clergy (as may be requested by next-of-kin) to do so. (It also appears that there will be an adequate number of voluntary pall-bearers from the community.)

There are approximately 50 identified bodies which need to be shipped to Los Angeles, and approximately 50 that need to be shipped to Ukiah. The trucking company will be able to send one truck to the Bay Area via the Ukiah area, and one truck to the Bay Area via the Los Angeles area. Bodies destined for burial in those places, may be loaded last, by the trucking company, in each truck, and may be off loaded in those areas.

With regard to morticians/funeral directors, and cemeteries, for claimed bodies, we recommend the use of those from the community who are best able to communicate with the families and do so at a reasonable cost. Mr. Muller has listed several black morticians in his general package-bid-plan, and we believe that they fulfill this general criterion, as do 27 other morticians in San Francisco who met with the BRC representative. The recommended individual allowance of

the amount of $540.00 should be made available for morticians/ funeral directors' services, including grave and endowment care, for next-of-kin who claim bodies -- but only such part of that amount as is actually expended for such services -- per body.

3. Reimbursement.

The ERC believes that in justice, and in equity, it is only fair to provide an amount of reimbursement for the families who have already seen to the burial of their dead. We have gathered information which shows that many of them had to borrow and go deeply into debt in order to accomplish such burials. We should not penalize those families, who did not wait until the court acted, in seeing to the burial of their dead. Further, of course, many of the already buried victims (or their relatives) provided monies which became part of the PEOPLE'S TEMPLE pool of assets. Accordingly, the Court should approve reimbursement in at least the amount authorized for the unburied claimed bodies. Many of the ERC members feel that an additional amount should be provided for those who have already buried their dead, because their shipping costs (at the least) were much greater than those we have been able to arrange.

III. GOVERNMENTAL PARTICIPATION

The ERC gratefully acknowledges the generous assistance of the United States Department of State, which has cooperated fully in the continuing work on this matter. The State Department will assist in providing all necessary permits for the truckers to bring the bodies across the

country. The USG, which has custody of the bodies, will release them upon the signature of the appropriate person on the release form attached to this Plan. It should be noted that this release form involves an assumption of liability by the person signing it. It is assumed that the funeral director who will be used will sign the form (or the cemetery director in the case of the unidentified).

The EAC also gratefully acknowledges the generous assistance of Congressman Evans (of Delaware), Phillip Burton (of California), and McCloskey (of California), as well as Senators Cranston and Hayakawa, and Mayor Feinstein of the City and County of San Francisco.

IV. NUMBERS.

At this writing, our information is that there are 570 bodies remaining at Dover. Of those bodies, 255 are unidentified. (Of the identified, 79 next-of-kin have indicated in writing that they authorize the State Department to dispose of the bodies. Accordingly, those bodies could be treated in the same manner as the unidentified remains.) The total cost of implementation of this plan, (1) assuming that $540.00 is paid for mortician's services and graves for the 236 bodies which are identified and are expected to be claimed, and (2) assuming $349.00 is paid for the grave for each unidentified body (and for each of the 79 bodies which will be interred in the same manner as the unidentified bodies), and (3) assuming $55,440 is paid to the LARMORE Company for transportation, is $302,786.

If reimbursement from

amounts, to the next-of-kin who have already buried the other 343 bodies of individuals who died at Jonestown, in an amount of $540.00 (interment) and $97.26 (transportation) an additional $218,580 would be needed. Accordingly, the total amount for providing allowances for next-of-kin of all 913 victims of the Guyana tragedy, as recommended by the ERC, would be $521,366.

V. IMPLEMENTATION.

Both the ERC and the USG recommend that the Receiver implement the plan. The Receiver should be authorized to make contracts with the LARMORE company, and with Skylawn Cemetery. The Receiver should be authorized to disburse funds, up to $540 per body for actual expenses for morticians' services and grave purchase.

The ERC stands ready to assist with communication between next-of-kin, governmental agencies, morticians, and such other necessary organizations or entities as the Court may deem appropriate. The ERC will continue to be an information clearing house for the purpose of expeditious return and interment of the bodies, as necessary.

VI. SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION.

1. Condition of the bodies, and place of interment.

The ERC is informed that all the bodies are embalmed, and are in hermetically sealed caskets. By the time the bodies are shipped (assuming the court approves this plan upon the hearing on it), the USG will have Guyanese death certificates for all the identified bodies, and Guyanese presumptive-death certificates for all the

unidentified bodies, and the USG will provide those death certificates to whoever implements the plan.

WHEREFORE, the ERC respectfully requests the Court to adopt its recommendations above set forth, and order the implementation of the Plan by the Receiver.

DATED: February 16, 1979.

EMERGENCY RELIEF COMMITTEE
Amicus Curiae

By _____
RABBI MALCOLM SPENCER
Co-Chair

By _____
MSGR. JOHN O'CONNOR
Co-Chair

By _____
PASTOR ROLAND GRIMM
Co-Chair

By _____
DONNETER LANE
Staff Coordinator

JOHN ESHLEMAN WAHL
GEORGE B. CABANISS, JR.

By _____
JOHN ESHLEMAN WAHL
Attorneys for Amicus Curiae

A United Way Agency

2088 HAYES STREET
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94117
(415) 387-2800

February 16, 1979

TO: THE EMERGENCY RELIEF COMMITTEE

FROM: FR. JOHN O'CONNOR

RE: TRANSPORTATION OF THE REMAINING GUYANA VICTIMS BODIES BACK TO CALIFORNIA

The following is an updated report. The report I submitted on February 8, 1979 was as follows:

TRANS INTERNATIONAL AIRWAYS: TIA expects to be able to move the bodies on two cargo jets, on six flights over two weekends. The total projected cost as of January 30, 1979, for 599 bodies, was, at that time, $139,177.00 or $232.35 per body. However, this did not include loading at Dover, which TIA expects will be performed by the Air Force or for surface transportation in Oakland to the storage facilities. The contact person is Mr. Gene Ehlers - 577-6200.

UNITED AIRLINES: Including surface transportation in Oakland, United expects to be able to perform the task as of January 30, 1979, based on 599 bodies for $137,830.00 or $230.00 per body. However, it is not clear whether this includes loading in Dover, and I have not yet been able to clarify this. Contact person is Ms. Nicole Gettis - 876-3302.

PAUL FULLER, FUNERAL DIRECTOR: Including surface transportation from Dover, loading and unloading, as of February 15, 1979, Mr. Fuller's bid was for 570 bodies was $142,500.00 or $250.00 per body. Mr. Fuller is the contact person and his phone number is 235-4109.

AMTRAK: Shipping the remains from Philadelphia to San Francisco, and not including transportation from Dover to Philadelphia or from San Francisco to Philadelphia Amtrak's estimate is $162.85 per casket, a total of $97,547.00, based on 599 bodies or $162.85 per body. It should be noted that Amtrak cannot move more than five bodies per regularly scheduled train, and this obviously creates a scheduling bottleneck in terms of moving the remains from Dover to Philadelphia. The contact person is Mr. Bell at 556-5755 or Mr. Tim Aufmuth at 202-383-2064.

ALL STATE TRUCKING: As of February 16, 1979, I had not received anything in writing from All State, which was one of three companies asked for written bids. I called Mr. Harry Kay (201-344-2850) on February 16th to confirm his bid of $90,500.00 and to ask him to put that in a telegram. Mr. Kay indicated that he had received information from Congressman Evans staff that the coffins measured 86"x26"x36¼", which was different than the information we had given him and that each adult casket weighed 400 lbs. He had not had the time to prepare his figure and put them in writing at that time.

On the morning that I called him, he had a number of calls coming in and he said he would try to put that in a telegram later that same day (February 16th). Therefore, as of this date and time, I do not have a firm figure from All State Trucking.

CHESAPEAKE TRANSPORT: I received in writing a letter dated February 7, 1979 from David Friedman, President of Chesapeake Motor Lines, that they would be able to transport the bodies at $175.00 per coffin. For 570 bodies that comes to $99,750.00. This would be transportation from Dover Air Force Base to Oakland Army Base. The contact person is Mr. Friedman at 301-799-4000.

LARMORE MOVING SYSTEMS: I received a letter dated February 12, 1979 from Mr. John J. Mulholland, Vice President and General Manager of Larmore, Inc., who indicated that he could move the bodies from Dover Air Force Base to the West Coast with 55 bodies in each 44 foot air-ride trailer with up to 55 bodies going to L. A. and up to 55 bodies going to Ukiah for a total cost of $55,440.00 for 582 bodies. That comes out to $95.26 per body.

NOTE: As of this writing some of the bids, as you will note, represented 599 bodies, some 582 and some have been translated to 570. This is because we had different body counts at different times when we presented the material to the various transport companies.

As I indicated above, I only asked for bids in writing as directed by the Emergency Relief Company from three companies, those which we thought were the lowest. It should also be noted that Mr. Paul Fuller submitted his bid in writing.

If I can be of any further help, please do not hesitate to call me.

JJO'C/pc

ASSOCIATED FUNERAL DIRECTORS, INCORPORATED

We are under the opinion that since all died together, they hould all be buried together and since this is our firms feeling we ropose our Christian Burial Plan. We do this because we have been less with the facilities and staff to handle funerals anywhere in the ayarea and a place in which to bury them.

We beleive all the love ones who have been left behind have been through enough grief and all the need is to see that their love ones are buried in a proper beautiful place with out a lot of publicity and Frills

We offer to you Twelve years of expertise in conducting goverment contracts for care of the dead in Northern California ( Navy) and also County contracts.

This proposal offers a savings on funeral directors and will give each deceased love one a christian burial in a cemetery that offer a name plaque, liner and a childrens section at no extra cost.

ASSOCIATED FUNERAL DIRECTORS, INCORPORATED

# PROPOSAL

n-1-

Picking up remains at any Bay area location for services to be held at a funeral chapel or church ----------$239.50* per

* This would include Hearse, two family cars, printed programs and thankyou cards and delivery to a cemetery of their choice.

an-2-

Picking up remains at any Bayarea location for direct interment. at any cemetery. ----------$*55.00 per

an-3-

Picking up remains at any Bayarea location and for interment in in a Bayarea Cemetery with endowment care, and name plaques, grave liners.* ----------$375.00 per

* the family will have a disinterment option when and if wanted

an-4-

Transportation from Dover to Oakland and Interment in a endowment care cemtery double dept cemetery plots, liners, and name plaques where needed Total Cost----------$280,000.00

an-5-

We offer double dept. interment plots ----------$275.00 per

otes Bayarea location includes Travis Airforce Base

8043
14th Street, Oakland, California 94621 / Tel. 569-7817

Alfred E. Goring/Jon D. Hall – Own

The Neptune Society
San Francisco Chapter
1680 Beach, Suite 342, San Francisco, CA 94109 / (415) 7

February 7, 1979

Miss Donnetter Lane
Coordinator For The
Committee on People's
Temple Burial Plan
c/o San Francisco Council
of Churches

Dear Miss Lane and Committee Persons:

In keeping with The Neptune Society's philosophy of simplicity: the cost of dying and burial need not be high nor expensive and can still be meaningful.

For the problems surrounding the burials of the Guyana victims, I am proposing the following program:

1. All bodies now in storage in Dover, Delaware to be moved to the San Francisco Bay Area. This will be done by train.

2. Each body will be buried at Evergreen Cemetery in its individual grave at a cost of $345.55, each would have an endowment care deposit made in the amount of $60.00, each would have a concrete liner (ground support).

   In my opinion this is a very attractive price, considering that it is a non-profit endowment care cemetery located in a major city. Also, should, at a later date, a surviving family wish to do so, they could, at their expense, remove the body and casket for reburial to a different cemetery. I think that this option is most important, especially when we consider that the State Department will continue to identify some of the bodies not yet identified.

3. For all work necessary for preparing for transportation, the transportation and the delivery to Evergreen Cemetery and placing of each casket on the ground at Evergreen, the total price is $500.00, from which amount Evergreen will be paid their fee ($354.55).

   There is a tremendous amount of work necessary to accomplish this. I have made very detailed plans. Obviously, some individual person must accept this job and the responsibility.

4. Once the bodies arrive in California, should a surviving family decide to conduct their own burial and funeral, I will then transport and deliver it, without cost, to them in any United States City. Also, should it happen that some families wish a local San Francisco Area funeral at their church or other place, they could obtain the bodies from us and then return it to Evergreen the next day for burial. This should and could happen at a very small cost to them, maybe as a donation from their church. In this respect, for a special hardship case of two or three, I will transport to the church and back to Evergreen without cost.

5. Because of the numbers involved, my logistic arrangements at Evergreen Cemetery require the burying of about 100 cases per week. This being so, it is important that they arrive in the Bay Area at about this sequence.

This total program can be started immediately. Attached are letters from my office and Evergreen's which have been sent to Mr. White at the State Department, Washington, D.C.

Sincerely,

Richard Jongordon
Director

PROPOSAL TO REMOVE THE UNIDENTIFIED REMAINS
FROM DOVER AIR FORCE BASE

1. I can provide transportation for all unidentified remains from Dover to California.
2. Remains will be delivered to a cemetery in California where I have reserved ample amount of graves to fulfill your needs.
3. I will provide one grave per body, one individual grave marker, and one mass graveside service for all bodies shipped.
4. I have arranged to have this area set aside and dedicated with a large memorial to all Americans who died in Guyana.
5. It should take no more than ten to fifteen working days to complete all of the above.

Payment

I hereby propose to complete in accordance with the above specifications this plan for ~~$705~~ $690 per remains, which will include a complete graveside service. In order to initiate this program, I will require a down payment of $450 per remains. I will utilize the down payment to complete the above services. I will attempt to bill the balance to the estate of People's Temple.

Paul R. Fuller

Acceptance of Proposal

The above prices, specifications and conditions are hereby accepted, and you are authorized to do the work as specified. Payment will be made as outlined above.

_____

_____

PARTICIPATING FUNERAL HOMES THAT WILL PROVIDE A MINIMUM SERVICE
FOR GUYANA FAMILIES FOR $250. — Two Hundred Fifty Dollars —

1. Jones Mortuary
Palo Alto, California
415-323-2481

2. Associated Funeral Services
Oakland, California
415-569-7817

3. Lewis & Hibbs Mortuary
San Francisco, California
415-468-2360

4. Bryant Mortuary
San Francisco, California
415-861-4559

5. Cooley and Riolo Mortuary
Vallejo, California
707-644-3882

6. Thompson's Funeral Home
Oakland, California
415-568-9666

7. Butler, Pollard and Sons
San Francisco, California
415-931-7437

8. Bayview Mortuary
San Francisco, California
415-822-2820

9. Coleman's Funeral Home
San Francisco, California
415-931-8483

IF FAMILIES WISHING TO PURCHASE MORE THAN THE MINIMUM SERVICES, may do so. For information regarding transportation contact:

Paul R. Fuller
El Cerrito, California
415-235-4109

TOTAL CHARGES FOR TRANSPORTATION FUNERAL & CEMETERY

| | WEST BAY - SKY LAWN - | EAST BAY - HIDDEN VALLEY - SINGLE GRAVE | EAST BAY - HIDDEN VALLEY - DOUBLE GRAVE |
|---|---|---|---|
| A) Funeral Service<br>B) Cemetery Charges<br>C) Transportation | | | |
| **A) | $252.00 | $252.00 | $252.00 |
| B) | $349.00 | ~~$280.00~~ 265.00 | $450.00 |
| *C) | $350.00 | $350.00 | $350.00 |
| TOTAL | $951.00 | $882.00<br>-15<br>$867.00 | $1054.00 |

NOTE: * Can be Accomplished with $150.00 Down Payment

** Some Firms will Accomplish for $125.00 Down Payment

PROPOSAL TO FINANCE THE RETURN OF PEOPLES TEMPLE BODIES FROM DOVER AIR FORCE BASE TO SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

Brother

FROM: Paul R. Fuller (Embalmer,
Leroy L. Fuller
Juanita Fuller
7309 Pebble Beach Drive
El Cerrito, California 94530

We propose to furnish and provide all finances necessary to complete the following:

1. Return the remains of your love ones from Dover Air Force Base, State of Delaware to San Francisco, CA. in lots of 64 bodies per shipment.

   a. Bodies will be delivered to one central location of your choice in the city of San Francisco.

   b. Before removal of remains from Dover A.F.B., we will require the following:

      1) A signed written Mortician's release containing the name of deceased, name and address of next of kin, and relationship to deceased.

      2) This signature must correspond with signature on file at the department of state located at the Dover A.F.B.

      3) Shipment only in lots of 64.

We hereby propose to comply with the above specifications of this plan. For the sum of $350 per remains. We would require $175 to $150 down payment per remains which will be deposited in a trust fund, account # _____ at [illegible] delivery of remains.

Total Charges ( ) ..... $_____

Less Cash Down Payment ..... $_____

Unpaid Balance of Total Charges ..... $_____

PAUL FULLER

I/We [illegible] and jointly agree to pay to [illegible] at their address shown above ...on Unpaid Balance of Total Charges (number ... above) ... on or before ... (hereinafter referred to as the ... the event that said Unpaid Balance of Total Charges is paid in full on or before the said Due Date, no finance charge shall be incurred. ... the event that I/we fail to pay the said Unpaid Balance of Total Charges in full on or before the said Due Date, I/we further agree to the a... NANCE CHARGE to commence on the first day of the first month immediately following the said Due Date, to be computed on the Unpa... ...end of each month at the rate of ... per month, which is equal to an ANNUAL PERCENTAGE RATE of ... All payments made above t...

## FUNERAL DIRECTORS

**Professional Services – Plan 1**

Removal from local airport or central storage facility, making and conducting funeral arrangements at the chapel, delivery to local cemetery.

| Price Range | Minimum | to | Maximum |
|---|---|---|---|
| | $239.50 | | $430.00 |
| For additional service add: | | | |
| 1. Service at a local church | 50.00 | | 75.00 |
| 2. Family limousine | – | | 50.00 |

**Professional Services – Plan 2**

Removal from lodal airport or central storage, direct to cemetery, conduct graveside service.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Price Range | 100.00 | 225.00 |

**Professional Services – Plan 3**

For unclaimed – direct removal from airport to cemetery.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Price Range | 50.00 | 80.00 |

## CEMETERY

Land, Liner, Endowment care, tax opening and closing of grave.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Single grave | 265.00 | 675.00 |
| (For Bay Area) | 275.00 | 675.00 |
| Double Depth Grave | | |
| Price Range | 450.00 | 1200.00 |
| (For Bay Area) | 495.00 | 1200.00 |

## COMPLETE SERVICE AND BURIAL COSTS

**Composite 1 - Maximum Service**

Removal from airport, making and conducting funeral arrangements, use of chapel, delivery to church, there to cemetery in bay area. (Includes family car).

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Minimum | 289.50 | |
| Add: Cemetery minimum | 275.00 | |
| TOTAL | | $564.00 |
| Maximum | 555.00 | |
| Add: Cemetery maximum | 675.00 | |
| TOTAL | | $ 1230.00 |

**Composite 2 - Medium Service**

Removal from airport, making and conducting funeral arrangements, service at the church, or the chapel, or the graveside (but only one), delivery to bay area cemetery, including family car.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Minimum | 239.50 | |
| Add: Cemetery minimum | 275.00 | |
| TOTAL | | 514.50 |
| Maximum | 505.00 | |
| Add: Cemetery maximum | 675.00 | |
| TOTAL | | $1180.00 |

**Composite 3 - Minimum Service**

Removal from airport, direct transport to bay area cemetery with graveside service.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Minimum | 55.00 | |
| Add: Cemetery minimum | 275.00 | |
| TOTAL | | 330.00 |
| Maximum | 100.00 | |
| Add: Cemetery maximum | 675.00 | |
| TOTAL | | 775.00 |

**FOR THE UNCLAIMED**

**Compsite 4 -**

Removal from the airport, direct transport to bay area cemetery (no individual memorial)

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Minimum | 50.00 | |
| Add: Cemetery minimum | 275.00 | |
| TOTAL | | 325.00 |
| Maximum | 75.00 | |
| Add: Cemetery maximum | 675.00 | |
| TOTAL | | 750.00 |

FINLEY ENTERPRISES

1496 W. 4th STREET - SAN BERNARDINO, CALIF. 92410

714-889-5927

February 4, 1979

Emergency Relief Committee
944 Market Street
4th. floor
San francisco, Ca. 94102

Attn. Mr. Lindberg Porter

Dear Mr. Porter:

It was indeed an enlighting conversation we enjoyed Friday night February 2, 1979. As you requested I am sending you a copy of our proposal th the United States' State Department for the burial of the unclaimed persons from the Guyana Tragedy.

I am also submitting a proposal designed to meet the demands of the Court and the desires of the Emergency Relief Committee.

The two proposals differ in three areas; 1,location of internment, 2, method of transportation and 3, costs. If special note is taken for the costs it will be immediately realized that our costs are economically based. Further, our costs are $1,000 each below the mean payed by individual families who have undertaken to resolve this situation separately ( their costs range between $2,000 and $3,000 each).

In addition, our costs are economical because we have solved the gigantic logistics problems. We propose to be responsible for all the tasks necessary for a dignified, respectful and reverent burial of all the unclaimed persons from the Guyana Tragedy.

Please contact Mr. Clay P. Finley At 714-888-4442 for any clarifications.

With best regards.

Sincerely,

Clay P. Finley

CPF/lr
Enclosures

Burial:

A. Land and Land Preparation--

1. The land is located in beautiful North California, within a one hundred miles of San Francisco.

2. The property is a gentle rolling hill covered with trees and scrub indigenous to the area.

3. There is presently a memorial park on the property.

4. The present size of the area is not known; we will acquire other land contiguous to the memorial park and will annex additional land which will be developed and fenced.

5. The burial site for the group in question will consist of approximately one acre of dimensions of approximately 200ft. by 200 ft., inclosed by wall or fence.

6. The burial arrangement will be six concentric circles with an 8 ft. walkway between each burial circle. In the center there will be a 20 ft. diameter circle which will contain a beautiful sculptured monument of fountain.

(a) for veterans there will be a garden of valor

(b) families will be located together

(c) the unidentified will be interspersed

(d) there will be four sections in the corners of the area reserved for family members who may wish to be in interned there later ( 100 sites

(e) each location will be identified by a bronze

(e) each location will be individually prepared

(f) each location will have a individual concret vault ( a rule of the memorial park)

7. The landscaping will be of two types:

(a) intensive landscaping will be inside the specified area -- in lawn, shrubbery, trees and growing flowers

(b) general landscaping will be outside the specified area for a distance of one acre on each side of the specified area-- in lawn and trees.

Transportation:

Our prelimary investigation of the transportation problem indicates that Amtrack rail system is the most desirable service for the shipment of the bodies. The trip is devided in three prats

1. Trucking to Wilmington from Dover, Delaware
2. Amtrack to San Francisco from Wilmington
3. Trucking to the site from San Francisco.

Memorial Services

We will conduct suitable memorial services at the site of interment in late April or early May 1979. Next of kin will be notified in person, by mail, phone or [illegible] indicating the time and place of the memorial service. In conjunction with the memorial service we will--

1. Provide for the Participants
   a. transportation
   b. lodging
   c. food
   d. fee
2. Provide for the next of kin the day of service only
   a. one meal
   b. rest rooms
   c. shelter at the site
   d. a place to sit
   e. parking
   f. traffic control at site
   g. security officers at site

Endowment Care:

We will establish a non-profit corporation for the perpetual care of the site. The park will be protected by an endowment care fund, the principal of which can never be expended, only the income can be used to maintain the perpetual beauty of the park. Dedicated family management and careful planning will guarantee

the continued beautiful development of this memorial park to meet the growing needs of the communities.

Other services we offer families:

The list below indicates but is not limited to the type of services we will offer the families.

1. Give deed to property
2. Give death certificate
3. Apply for Social Security death benefits

Itemized Costs

| Item | Costs each |
|---|---|
| 1. Land | $360.00 |
| 2. Opening and Closing | 200.00 |
| 3. Vault | 250.00 |
| 4. Grave Marker | 30.00 |
| 5. Transportation | 150.00 |
| 6. Memorial | 80.00 |
| 7. Funeral Services | 30.00 |
| 8. Endowment Care | 200.00 |

Skylawn

32992 Mission Boulevard, Hayward, California 94544 (415) 538-3131

January 31, 1979

...pol of the Chimes Memorial Park
...mory, Mausoleum, Mortuary
92 Mission Boulevard
...ward, California 94544
...) 538-3131

...pol of the Chimes
...soleum, Columbarium,
...mory, Mortuary
...Piedmont Avenue
...land, California 94611
...)654-9123

...ton Memorial Park
...mory, Mausoleum,
...Columbarium, Crematory
...Box 5070
...Mateo, California 94402
...)349-6411

...ral Lawn Chapel of the Chimes
...mory, Mausoleum, Mortuary
...Marysville Boulevard
...ramento, California 95838
...922-5835

...land Memorial, Inc. dba
...land Memorial Funeral Home
...soleum, Columbarium,
...tory, Crematory
...S. E. 14th Avenue
...ond, Oregon 97202
...)236-4141

...ise Memorial Parks
...Box 12928
...se, Arizona 85711
...)885-6741

Mr. Lindberg Porter
Emergency Relief Committee
944 Market Street, 4th Floor
San Francisco, CA.94102

Dear Mr. Porter:

As promised you on the telephone, I am writing to confirm Skylawn Memorial Park's interest in helping resolve such problems as may exist in connection with those remains that are still being held at United States Air Force base.

Shortly after the Jonestown tragedy we notified a number of local mortuaries that we are prepared to assist in this matter, and I am enclosing a copy of a letter which was mailed on a very limited basis to several organizations that had contacted us. The offer made in that letter is still valid, and additionally, we are prepared to discuss with you any other practical alternatives.

Skylawn Memorial Park with its 500 plus acres is located in the heart of the Peninsula. My personal interest cannot help but make me feel somewhat subjective, but I believe it is naturally the most beautiful cemetery in the entire area, overlooking both the ocean and the undulating barriers of the foothills.

I am not unaware of the many and valid problems that need to be considered in connection with the almost 500 remains that still need to be brought to the Bay Area, but I am of the opinion that this can be far better resolved at a personal meeting than through correspondence.

I am most easily reached at 489-2280, and would be happy to arrange a mutually convenient time to meet with you.

Yours very sincerely,

TED KIMCHE, President

TK:fn
enclosure

SKYLAWN
MEMORIAL PARK
POST OFFICE BOX 5070 • SAN MATEO, CALIFORNIA 94402 • TEL. (415) 349-4411

NOT FOR MEDIA DISTRIBUTION

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

The tragic events of Jonestown have caused a number of inquiries to be made as to the extent we might assist the many families who suffered the loss of one or more relatives.

We believe that the circumstances surrounding the deaths of over 900 persons are such that our organization must recognize its community responsibilities; and Skylawn has therefore adopted the following policy in connection with cemetery arrangements for victims of that tragedy.

> "Skylawn Memorial Park will make available to persons making cemetery arrangements for victims of the Jonestown tragedy, any of its property, merchandise or services at one-half the regular price as shown on Skylawn's price list.
>
> Arrangements can, on this basis, be made for as little as $349.10 for single interment, or $560.70 for a double depth interment in the same grave space.
>
> These prices include all of the following:
> Grave space
> Endowment Care Trust deposit
> Opening and closing of grave space, together with all necessary labor and equipment
> Recording fee
> Concrete grave liner."

For persons wishing some other form of service, such as cremation or cemetery property in higher priced areas, the 50% discount will apply.

Skylawn Memorial Park wishes to cooperate with you or any governmental agency to help assure that families will not be unduly burdened by events of such a tragic nature and over which they had no control.

Very truly yours,

THEODORE KIMCHE
President